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The above statement critique to the fact that Administrative Reforms have never really been Holistic and Envisaged in India.

The basis of the above statement can be briefly summed up under:-

(a) Continuation of historical institutions and structures as they are were

(b) Lack of any empirical evidence of a complete overhaul of any administrative component

(c) Poor implementation record of Administrative Reform recommendations

(d) The glaring gap between administrative capabilities and expectation from people

Many of our laws - CRPC, Indian Penal Code and several others like Forest laws etc are the continuation of British era laws. The philosophical/ideological underpinnings behind them were totally different from present and yet they continue. Almost all important administrative structure owe themselves to historical legacy and the maximum that has been done is to tweak them occasionally.

There is an abysmal record of implementation of any administrative reform record recommendation may it be police reforms, bureaucratic reforms etc.
The reasons for the piecemeal approach can be
reasoned at lack of will to effect groundbreaking
change in the era of coalition politics,
increased opposition from bureaucracy to any
change that removes them from comfort
zone. Also, the fact that India still is a
developing country were masss lack awareness
to importance of such issue.

The ways in which this can be tackled is
by learning from not just west but from
other developing countries in east and south
americas. Developing a strong administrative reform
culture and encouraging merit and change
in the system. Administrative reforms ought to
be seen not in isolation but in relation
with their importance as means to achieve
social-economic development.

The piecemeal argument is also not
right in its entirety as there have be changes
like the adoption of constitution, 74th and
73rd amendments as reform measures with
the liberalization wave but that still needs
to reach a climax to be really termed
as Holistic Administrative Reform.
The term development has changed its meaning from being something that was delivered from top to something that now is driven bottom-up. Same holds true for rural development.

Earlier rural development in Indian context was seen much in context of agricultural development and it was reflected in the common development programme and national extension services, 1958.

Now it is recognized that agricultural development though very vital is just a part of the holistic rural development aspect. It just covers the economic aspect and hope a spillover to other dimensions like social, political but that may not always happen. Hence, the increased focus on integrated approach that considers all social, cultural, political and gender angles of development.

The issue with more focus on agricultural development is that it neglects other rural professions like handicrafts, handlooms etc. on the economic front. On the social and political front it continues the system of power divide on the basis of caste and class. This cannot hence be called development in real sense.

Almost all government committees post independence have focused on political empowerment and decentralization as a measure to ensure proper rural development. And then
Linking of schemes to this decentralised structure 73rd amendment imparted a political decentralisation at the local rural level with safeguards using adequate reservation on the basis of caste and gender for the same reasons.

ARC II also sees rural development as hinged onto the successful decentralization and empowerment it recommends that the state empower local bodies by devolving power through the principle of subsidiarity and convergence. Help them gather their own finances and encourage accountability mechanisms.

ARC II also recommends that development measures by Governments keep the structure in mind and use panchayat system. The approach paper to 12th FYP also recommends empowerment of PRIs and their successful incorporation into Atal Nirman, NREGA and NRHM programs. Also, agriculture development schemes like Insurance are to be routed through panchayats.

Hence, we can see that rural development is certainly much broader concept than agricultural development.
NHRC was formed under the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993. The Act offers independence and impartiality to the body in the following ways:

(a) Autonomy of the commission is derived from the way of appointment from a committee from both houses comprising of PM, leader of opposition, Home Minister, Speaker etc. Also, the members are retired members from chief Justice as chairman and other judiciary.

(b) It has powers of a civil court-like-
summoning and enforcing attendance, receiving affidavits, production of documents etc.

(c) On functional terms it has power of sub-media enquiry, intervening in cases before courts with permission from courts, visiting jails, asking questions to governments, reviewing laws etc.

(d) For operational purposes, it also has a separate investigation wing headed by a Director General of Police.

Though the above seems like sufficient enough to ensure independence and impartiality. There are some shortcomings.
(a) The suggestions of the committee are of recommendatory nature hence not taken seriously.

(b) Considering the expanse of country and growing awareness on Human Rights it is relatively a small boy.

(c) There is lack of adequate coordination of NHRC with Judiciary, NGOs and media.

(d) Many claims filed are ignored by the commission because of capacity constraints.

Hence, we see that the comment holds strength that though independent and impartial, the NHRC has its loopholes. The loopholes could be plugged by raising awareness among people, making recommendations to hold unless reasons are provided, increasing the capacity of NHRC and making structure for proper coordination with different bodies.
India is experiencing a boom in urbanization. The recent census revealed that the urban population added this past decade exceeded that added to the rural. Despite this, there hasn't been a great focus on incorporating the urban populace into planning processes.

The issues due to increasing urbanization and lack of planning are:

(i) Sagging infrastructure - roads, water, energy etc to serve growing population
(ii) Unstructured urban growth
(iii) Problem of slums
(iv) Lack of preparedness for disasters that are characteristic of urban areas or take disproportionate proportions in the context.

It is equally important to understand the impact of urban areas on peripheral towns and villages.

The 74th Amendment made provisions for devolution of urban areas and planning through municipal planning planning committees but because the specifications and implementation was left to the state there is high variation across states and most planning bodies are virtually functionally defunct.
Also, because there is lack of expertise and proper coordination with the municipal councils, they are deemed ineffective.

Also, there are areas of overlap where urban and rural geographies overlap in terms of roads, transport facilities etc. This needs good coordination between both bodies but that is largely absent.

The 12th approach paper to FYP lists lack of comprehensive planning, rigid planning process, lack of integrated approach, lack of proper plan-finance linkages and inadequate capacity as the major bottleneck in urban planning.

Recommendations:

The ARC II recommends that urban local bodies be provided higher financial and administrative autonomy and that planning be subsumed into the municipal councils as an integral part. Government to encourage innovative planning through proper incentives. It also stresses that municipal councils be devolved more powers through the principle of subsidiarity and planning to be taken...
close to people through wards, ward committee and Ana Sabhas.

The Approach paper to 12th FYP lays the importance on structuring urban growth along the Industrial corridors, providing strategic and financial guidance to district and metropolitan planners, strategic densification of cities, technical capacity building and devising proper legal frameworks.

Thus it can be appreciated that urban centres are going to form the nucleus around which district would grow and improper growth due to inadequate planning would be of bad consequence for the entire district. Hence, it is important that urban planning is taken up on urgent basis.
The role of centre and centrally sponsored schemes that are either disguised tied grants or means to side track state administration process are one of the biggest base of contentions as pointed out by both kunchi and Sarkaria commissions.

Centre's view:
There is a perception that most of the corruption occurs at the level of state hence centre's reluctance in giving grants directly is justified. At the same time, there are aspects that fall in the concurrent list on which centre has a role to play. Also, centre perceives states as lacking the efficiency and effectiveness in terms of policy planning and execution with proper accountability mechanisms. Hence, the justification ofcss. Also, the centre says that the funds are anyway being spent at state level so states shouldn't be so sceptical.

State's perspective: They see centre as amagating powers and as against the spirit of federalism. They cite centre's apprehension
of funding as the main cause of the poor plight of state apparatus. States believe they are better in touch with the ground realities and hence can be more effective. Also, because administration on the local government level is a responsibility given to the states they see this as even the more a reason why centre should not interfere.

Panchayati and Sarpanch commissions are of the opinion that in a federal spirit the finances and powers between state and centre should be rationalized and centre should stop interfering through the means of tied grants and centrally sponsored schemes as it leads to multiplicity of efforts, thereby increasing costs and reducing accountability. Panchayati Dirka commission talks about constitutional amendments to give states more power over taxation and hopes GST would solve the same.

ARC II also stresses on the need for making local bodies more autonomous. It points to the fact that states are apprehensive about devolving powers to the local level as was centre to the states. It talks about increasing the capacity of local bodies to
generate their own tax base and the states and centre to play an important role in encouraging the same.

Empirical evidence are true in the form of Gujarat and Kerala where there is substantial devolution of financial power at the local level by the state and the outcomes have exceeded what the state or centre would have achieved by a top down approach.

Hence, in the spirit of federalism to the lowest level and in the spirit of decentralization and empowerment it is only right to say that it is in the best interest of the country that as much financial devolution takes place to the local bodies as possible following the principle of subsidiarity.
The 73rd and 74th constitutional amendments envisaged encouraging planning at the local levels. The same was also recommended by earlier committees like Balwant Rai Mehta and Ashok Mehta committee.

The idea is to encourage a bottom-up approach to planning as one of the pillars of effective decentralization.

Because local administration is a state subject and the Amendments also left it to the states to detail the structure and organization of district planning and development boards, their structure differ across states. But a general outline could be described as:-

(i) District planning boards are required to assimilate plans from the local levels and feed them into the state plan.

(ii) They are supposed to collect requisite information and act as a resource house of ground level data.

(iii) They are required to review the implementation of plans and recommend changes if necessary.

(iv) They should provide guidance to village or local levels to ensure proper planning.
Despite the seemingly noble task, the planning bodies at district levels have failed to meet up to expectations. Reason being:-

(i) They lack expertise and finance to perform their tasks.

(ii) Many state have established them on paper but not in spirit.

(iii) The extensive prevalence of centrally sponsored schemes defeats their purpose.

(iv) They lack funds, functionaries and functionaries to a great extent.

Recommendations to improve could be:

(i) Ensure implementation in spirit through proper empowerment (ARC-II)

(ii) Stop centrally sponsored schemes and tied grants (Panchayat commission)

(iii) Make District planning an integral part of District Council (ARC-II)

Hence, we see that though extremely important, planning is neglected at district level. It is high time that steps are taken to address the lacunae.
Though decentralization is corner stone of democracy as it takes governance more close to people, there are good number of impediments to be overcome. The problems can be broadly categorized as:

(i) Problem of economy of scale.
(ii) Elite capture
(iii) Increasing inter-regional inequalities
(iv) Conflicts between local and national interests
(v) Inherent complexity.
(vi) Other political factors - Role of Centre, State

Decentralization implies increase in localized procurements and taking up of contracts. Many experts see this as an expensive process if done in a disintegrated manner.

In a country that is highly stratified on the basis of caste, gender etc., there is a high possibility that elites (upper castes, dominant castes) dominate the scene. This is empirically observed across geographies in India.

One of the outcome of high diversity is that some regions are better off than the others. Hence, there exists a case for an unrestrained effort that looks into redistribution aspects.
Many-a-time the local interests may be at loggerheads with the national interests and may become unsolvable if the Centre is weak eg: Displacement for development activities like infrastructure etc.

Apart from the above, there are political reasons. States see themselves in an hourglass situation—tapered at the middle sandwiched by States on one side and local governments on the other and hence are wary of effective decentralization. Centre on the other side disrupts decentralization through centrally sponsored schemes developed from above. All of this has led to poor capability building at local levels.

Hence we see that democratic decentralization is not just affected by the usual problems but also heavily due to the entrenched interests of Centre, State and local officials.
The concept of community policing sees the people as the centre of policing, who are the main drivers, and stakeholders in local policing. 

This is a new dimension to the idea of police as a "service", than "repressive hand of states". One of the most used statement in this regard is - "People is Police & Police is people". It is quite well established in UK, and USA but yet to take proper roots in India.

India had a history of police as a repressive instrument than service due to the colonial legacy. If one looks closely at the structure and the institution in terms of the laws one finds several examples of the same - discretion with the station officer to file FIRs or not, provision for appointment of locals at SPs, Criminal Tribes Act etc. This has resulted in a huge difference in perspective on what is needed for community policing. Also, the trust people put in police is on a low.

Though there are examples like Mohalla Committees in Khiwadi-Maharashtra, Mauhar in Andhra Pradesh and 'Friends of Police' in Tamil Nadu.
There is still a long way to go.

ARC II has given some recommendations in improving the community policing aspect:

(i) It states that local policing should be given completely under local governments like in US and UK.

(ii) The concept of beat policing needs to be reintroduced.

(iii) Interaction with people should be organised through community liaison groups.

(iv) Convergence with activities of other departments should be tried.
Regulatory bodies are meant to provide a level playing field in a market place where both government and private players play a part. The concept first emerged in USA but is accepted worldwide as of today.

Issues that concern independent regulatory bodies in India are as follows. Firstly, the whole idea of regulatory bodies is new to India and as such has not matured yet. Secondly, there is a lack of standardization in the way they are formed and many corporates complain that they are always manned by high level bureaucrats and that there should be more representation at it.

Thirdly, there are still issues of interference from the government. Fourthly, the regulatory bodies don’t have their functions deliniated property which results in frequent overlaps on their domains. The recent example of ULIPs which were claimed under their domains by both SEBI and IRDA led to lot of litigations and wastage of money ever since.
The above shortcomings are often reasons for lack of faith in regulatory commissions and thus poor investor confidence that affects efficient functioning of markets.

To counter these effects, the commission also indicated measures:-

Firstly, the selection and formation of the boards for commission should be transparent and standardised. Secondly, it also recommended that the functions of all regulatory commission should be properly delineated. Thirdly it recommends signing of Memorandum of Understanding or management statements clearly specifying the sphere of influence and tasks to accord greater autonomy and independence.

Going ahead, the commission also recommends a system to review the role of regulators from time to time and recommend suggestions.

A further input from corporate bodies like CII, ASSOCHAM etc is that the membership of regulatory boards should be made
more inclusive and experts from outside the government should also be involved.

It should be appreciated that as India moves towards greater economic development, the role of markets and private players—both domestic and foreign—would only increase. It would be of utmost necessity that there are neutral arbitrations in such a scenario. It would also be required that there is complete faith in the regulatory bodies by the market players to ensure healthy competition. Hence, it is totally required that the recommendations of the commission are implemented at the earliest to remove the current roadblocks.
The fact that there is still a lot to be done on the front of actively incorporating PR in the implementation part of development programs has been highlighted by ARC II and also in the recent approach paper for the 12th FYP.

It is important that locals are involved in implementation of development programs because they are the best source of information on grassroots realities and hence might help fine-tune the implementation to yield maximum impact. The involvement needs to be institutionalized otherwise it wouldn’t sustain.

The factors responsible for this shortcoming are:

(i) In most places District Planning bodies as envisaged by 73rd and 74th Amendments have not been instituted in spirit.

(ii) Many state programs are also not delivered through local bodies. Centrally sponsored schemes also overlook the local aspect as most of the schemes are similar across the country.

(iii) State view empowerment of local bodies
and their increasing role in development policies as a danger to their clout and hence don't pursue it actively.

(iv) There is lack of proper accountability mechanisms at the local levels in terms of proper structures and hence the apprehension at giving finances freely to panchayat bodies etc.

(v) Financial devolution is yet to take place properly.

ARC II considers the above shortcomings and has forwarded certain recommendations like involving locals effectively in the planning process and by making district planning councils an integral part of district councils. Empowering local organization by adequate devolution of funds, functions and functionaries. It also recommends that plans at state and central levels be made with due sustenance to the structure at local levels and use them to the extent possible for implementation and accountability mechanisms. It also emphasises role of NGOs etc in social audits.
The 12th Five Year Plan approach paper also stresses on the need to integrate local administration into State/central schemes. It also talks about convergence of schemes like NREGA and MNREGA to ensure proper participation without duplication of efforts.

Andhra Pradesh government's Indira Kranti Pathakam is a great step at incorporating locals into implementation of development schemes, ensuring adequate reach and preventing duplication of efforts.

Hence, it can be said that though the participation has not been great but there is a strong case for it. Also there exist examples in India that have achieved it and can be learnt from them.
The current situation that Police or the law and order machinery is currently faced with can be diagrammatically represented as:

**Service orientation**
- Self-conception
- Repressive arm of state

**Non-core functions**
- Prosecution
- Investigation
- Law & order
- Role overload
- Security
- Traffic function

**Dual Accountability**
- Teeth to tail ratio
- Old archaic laws - CrPC, IR
- Top heavy

**Issues**

**Structural**
- No autonomy
- Political Interference
- Lack of IT support
- Pressure, overloaded

**Operational**
- Hygiene factors
  - Working hours
  - Working conditions
  - Poor Armoury/outdated
  - Infrastructure

**Behavioral**
- Stress
- Self-esteem
- Gender sensitivity

**Citizens**
- Perception issue
- Perjury
- Mistrust

**Media**
- Criminal justice system
- Judicial/HR - Civil Rights activism

**Experiential factors**


Having outlined the major issues with the Police system, it can be appreciated that a rapidly changing law and order profile will only complicate matters unless addressed in due time.
Following are some of the key recommendations:

Goal clarity: There is a need to change the orientation of police system in India to be service-centric. ARC II said this could be done by making police force more representative and by involving community in the form of community policing.

Role clarity: It is important that the police function be divided into investigation body, law and order body and local policing body. This would help in role delineation and developing new performance indicators.

Structural: The Police Act that governs police is of 1861 and there is immense need for a new one as the philosophy of policing needs to undergo real change. There is a need to rationalise the constabulary numbers and provide them with proper growth opportunities (PADC, Solee Sorojje). There is need for specialization in investigation through specialised prosecution wing, forensics wing (ARC-II). Proper checks should be in place to discourage corruption.
Operational: There is need to cut down political interference to ensure that state interference only in matters related to efficiency and by providing legislative inputs (National Police Commission). They need to be made more autonomous.

Hygiene Factors: The working hours need to be rationalized. Housing, continuity, pension schemes need to be streamlined. Also, proper armory should be provided (ARC II).

Behavioural Aspects: There need to be training programs to impart gender sensitivity and HR orientation. Also, interaction needs to be service oriented.

The above changes if made would automatically ensure that citizens, media, and other stakeholders change their orientation towards police. The police also will develop into being more community friendly and at the same time more autonomous and effective.
The civic management structure prior to 2005 Disaster Management Act consisted of a 3 tier structure with many layers of reporting in between. This had rendered the response mechanism time lagged and quite ineffective.

Since the Gujarat Earthquake, Government has taken important policy decisions as follows:

(i) Disaster management with reference to rapid onset disasters was moved from Agriculture to Home Ministry.

(ii) State governments were advised to have a separate Disaster Management Dept and to constitute Disaster Management Authorities at State and District levels.

(iii) A specialised force—Nationalised Disaster Response Force was constituted.

(iv) Emergency Operations Centre is to be setup at National, State and District levels.

(v) Capacity building for Disaster management was institutionalised in the form of National Institute of Disaster Management and by including Disaster Management in school syllabus.
Also, the tiered system of approach has been replaced by a more integrated system with much lower reliance on approvals and permissions through the National Disaster Management Act 2005.

The above changes indicate a change in thinking that Disaster Management requires a concerted/integrated approach that is quick and effective. It appreciates the fact that it is but to involve locals in disaster planning because they know the landscape better and are the biggest stakeholders who are affected by disasters. It also lays focus on the relevance and importance of technological innovation like the INCOSE system for Tsunami warnings in Hyderabad and earthquake awareness.

Apart from these there is a greater focus on construction of buildings that are earthquake proof, fire-safe ("ARC-II). There is
also an increased focus on mock drills and evacuation processes to educate people better. Also, there are attempts to ensure international cooperation and coordination for disasters.

Hence, we see that thinking on disaster management has changed on many grounds-awareness, involving locals, ensuring international coordination and using technology. All of this is further grounded in making legislative structures that ensure smooth execution. Thus, one can see a sea change in the type of thinking that has gone under disaster management.
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